Meteoroids impacting the atmosphere How can we measure them?

Simon Anghel

Astronomical Institute of the Romanian Academy Faculty of Physics, University of Bucharest IMCCE, Observatoire de Paris











What are Asteroids?

Asteroids are small objects in the Solar System, also known as minor planets.

> Asteroid sizes ranges between meters -> hundreds of kilometers.



27 000 (Nov, 2021)

Near Earth Asteroids (NEA)

Main belt asteroids

Trojan asteroids/

source: minorplanetcenter.net

2011/01/01

Asteroids are small objects in the Solar System, also known as minor planets.

Bennu

100 km

Urania

Asteroid sizes ranges between meters -> hundreds of kilometers.

>1 100 000 known asteroids (Nov, 2021)



credit: ESO public release



What happens when a meteoroid enters the atmosphere?

Study Motivation

- Meteoroid mass scale is uncertain
- Meteoroids keep the memory of the early Solar System
- Meteoroids as analogues for Asteroids and Comets
- Meteoroid <u>size / flux</u> density estimations are important for spacecraft shielding
- Make multi-sensor simultaneous observations using the atmosphere as a "detector"



What happens when a meteoroid enters the atmosphere?



How much cosmic material enters the atmosphere every day?



Bolide (greek, *bolis =* projectile) <u>Meteor brighter than magnitude -4</u>

The variation in luminosity indicates the properties of the meteoroid (e.g. fragmentation, rotation, strength)

Double station => trajectory







Fireball Network

The **FRIP[®]N** Consortium

FRIPON = Fireball Recovery and InterPlanetary Observation Network

- Track and recovery of the objects entering the atmosphere
- First camera installed in 2015, at Paris Observatory



The **FRIP**[®]**N** Consortium Current Status

FRIPON = Fireball Recovery and InterPlanetary Observation Network

- Track and recovery of the objects entering the atmosphere
- First camera installed in 2015, at Paris Observatory

MOROI network current status:

- 13 stations connected to FRIPON
- <u>meteoroid</u> <u>trajectory & orbit</u> (Nedelcu et al. 2018, RoAJ; Anghel et al. 2021, RoAJ)
- meteoroid mass (Anghel et al. 2021, MNRAS)
- meteorite strewn field (Boaca et al. 2021, RoAJ)
- <u>night sky quality</u> (Anghel et al. 2019, RoAJ)
- night cloud coverage (Birlan et al. 2021, RoAJ)

https://www.fripon.org/ (Open-access database)







https://www.fripon.org/ (Open-access database)





22 December 2018 Pyrenean Fireball



Energy estimation methods:

Dynamic (Ballistic & Mass loss parameters) theoretical (Gritsevich 2009, Jeane et al. 2019)

Accurate tracking of the change in velocity





Energy estimation methods:

Dynamic (Ballistic & Mass loss parameters)

theoretical (Gritsevich 2009, Jeane et al. 2019)





22 December 2018 Pyrenean Fireball 44°N

HENDAYE 201812211121 UTC

Energy estimation methods :

Seismic (Acoustic-Seismic Coupling)

semi-empirical (Kanamori **1977**, ReVelle & Whitaker **1996**)

When bolide shockwave hits the ground it turns into seismic signal





Energy estimation methods :

Infrasound (Period at Maximum Amplitude)

empirical, wave properties (ReVelle **1997**, Ens et al. **2012**)

 $\log \frac{W}{2} = 3.34 \log \tau - 2.58, \quad \frac{W}{2} \le 100 \text{ kt} \qquad \begin{array}{l} W = \text{Source Yield (kt)} \\ \tau = \text{period [s]} \end{array}$

When bolide shockwave is detected at infrasound stations



Figure 1. Satellite view of the Western Mediterranean. The ground projection



Radiation (Integration of light curve) empirical (Ceplecha et al. 1998)



- τ = luminous efficiency m = mass
- v = velocity

<image>



Energy estimation methods used:

- 1. <u>Dynamic (Ballistic & Mass loss parameters)</u> theoretical (Gritsevich 2009, Jeane et al. 2019)
- 2. <u>Seismic (Acoustic-Seismic Coupling)</u> semi-empirical (Kanamori **1977**, ReVelle & Whitaker **1996**)
- 3. Infrasound (Period at Maximum Amplitude)

empirical, wave properties (ReVelle **1997**, Ens et al. **2012**)

4. <u>Radiation</u> (Integration of light curve)

empirical (Ceplecha et al. 1998; Brown et al. 2002)



MNRAS 508, 5716–5733 (2021) Advance Access publication 2021 October 18

https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2968

Energy signature of ton TNT-class impacts: analysis of the 2018 December 22 fireball over Western Pyrenees

S. Anghel[®], ^{1,2,3}* E. Drolshagen,⁴ T. Ott,⁴ M. Birlan,^{1,2}* F. Colas,² D. A. Nedelcu,^{1,2} D. Koschny,⁵ B. Zanda,^{2,6} S. Bouley,^{2,7} S. Jeanne,² A. Malgoyre,⁸ C. Blanpain,⁸ J. Gattacceca,⁹ L. Jorda,¹⁰ J. Lecubin,⁸ J. L. Rault,² J. Vaubaillon[®],² P. Vernazza,¹⁰ R. Hueso,^{11,12} E. Peña-Asensio,^{13,14,15} S. J. Ribas,^{16,17} A. Rimola[®],¹³ A. Sánchez-Lavega,^{11,12} M. Tapia,¹⁸ J. M. Trigo-Rodriguez[®],^{14,15} P. Cauhape,¹⁹ C. Davadan,²⁰ P. Dupouy,²¹ M. Herpin,¹⁹ D. Rousseu¹⁹ and B. Tregon²²

Affiliations are listed at the end of the paper

Problem:

It is **not clear** how the **high energy impact** calibrations translate to **lower impact scales**.



100

10.

High energy impacts

Figure 2 Bolide energy calibrations. These are based on simultaneous observations by optical sensors (or equivalent) and energy estimated from another technique. The optical

Objective : Find a new relation for lower scale impacts

$$\tau_{\rm I} = (0.1212 \pm 0.0043) E_{\rm o}^{0.115 \pm 0.075} \tag{1}$$

Ο

where E_{o} is the optical energy (in kilotons TNT equivalent,



Impact date

The search for decimeter-scale meteoroids

Meteorite recoveries with known atmospheric data

Cavezzo

Flensburg

Motopi Pan

Hamburg
 Dingle_Dell

Dishchiibikoh
 Stubenberg

Lost City Bolide



35 -

The search for decimeter-scale meteoroids



30 The Innisfree Meteorite and the Canadian Camera Network

35 -

FIG. 4-The Innisfree meteorite in flight, from upper left to lower right, photographed from he MORP station at Vegreville. The rotating filter wheel produces 4 segments of trail per second. The bright stars crossing the meteor trail near the middle are Castor and Pollux. Note the evidence of separate fragments low on the path. The meteor entered the field at a height of 9 km and was observed over a path length of 37.8° in 3.82 seconds.



0

Innisfree Bolide

Meteorite recoveries with known atmospheric data

Cavezzo

Flensburg

Motopi Pan

2025

Hamburg Dingle Dell

 Dishchiibikoh Stubenberg

 Ejby Murrili



Impact date

FIG. 6-Six of the seven members of the search team shown with the four snowmobiles used in the search. From left to right, E. Hubbs, V. Kuzz, A. T. Blackwell, K. Fried, I. Halliday and M. Freed, with the photo taken by A. A. Griffin. The meteorite is seen in front of the third snowmobile from left, a small haversack used to protect the camera and maps lies to the left of the meteorite.

9 meteorites = 4,5 kg

Halliday, Blackwell and Griffin, 1978





fireball at left (and inset), close to the horizon, and Bunburra Rockhole (BR) at the recovery site (inset).

Bland et al. 2009



The search for decimeter-scale meteoroids

Lake Eri

81° W

82° W

45

44 N

43[°] N

42⁸³ W



Impact date

2025

Motopi Pan Hamburg Dingle Dell Dishchiibikoh Stubenberg

Zdarnad Sazavou

 Ejby Murrili Creston Saricicek Porangaba

Annama

Sutters Mill

Novato

Krizevci

 Mason Gully Kosice

Chelyabinsk

Cavezzo

Flensburg

Meteorite recoveries with known atmospheric data 35 -







100 km



Cavezzo

The search for decimeter-scale meteoroids

Meteorite recoveries with known atmospheric data Flensburg

Cavezzo



35 -



SUNNYVALE

73 km

37 km



Fig. 1. Top) Optical photographs of Creston meteorites #5 (left) and #6. Notice how each meteorite has one side that is more reddish colored, the irregular surface in the case of C05 and the fresher flatter surface in the case of C06, respectively. Bottom) Creston bolide from Sunnyvale (cropped image) and Goleta.



Fireball radiated light (tons TNT)

The Creston Bolide





Bolide Name	Bolide Name Date V_{∞} (yyyy/mm/dd) (km/s)		m_{∞} M_{max} (kg)		Optical energy (T TNT)	Source energy ^b (T TNT)	Reference
Hamburg	2018/01/17	15.83 ± 0.05	142 (60 – 225)	-16.3	0.193 ^a	4.27 (1.79 – 6.78)	1, 2
	2016/02/06	14.52 ± 0.10	185 (110 – 350)	-14.0	0.156 ^a	4.66 (2.73 - 8.94)	3, 4
Creston	2015/10/24	16.00 ± 0.26	55 (10 - 100)	-12.0	0.040 ^a	1.68 (0.30 - 3.16)	5
Žďár nad Sázavou	2014/12/09	21.89 ± 0.02	150 (130 – 170)	-15.3	0.335	8.59 (7.43 - 9.75)	6
	2012/10/18	13.67 ± 0.12	80 (45 – 115)	-13.8	0.215	1.79 (0.99 – 2.61)	7
	2011/02/04	18.21 ± 0.07	50 (25 - 100)	-13.7	0.064 ^a	1.98 (0.98 - 3.99)	8
Grimsby	2009/09/26	20.91 ± 0.19	30 (20 - 50)	-14.8	0.082 ^a	1.57 (1.03 – 2.66)	9
- Jesenice	2009/04/09	13.78 ± 0.25	170 (90 – 250)	-15.0	0.158 ^a	3.86 (1.97 – 5.88)	10, 11, 12
	e 2007/07/20	13.37 ± 0.01	30 (21 – 38)	-9.6	0.004 ^a	0.64 (0.44 - 0.82)	13, 14, 15
- ф - EN130801	2001/08/13	59.89 ± 0.13	0.600	-13.3	0.006	0.257	16
- \$ - EN151101A	2001/11/15	71.30 ± 0.11	0.800	-14.9	0.029	0.486	16
- \$ - EN030804	2004/08/03	60.80 ± 0.20	0.370	-12.5	0.005	0.163	16
Innisfree	1977/02/06	14.70 ± 0.04	36 (20 - 44)	-12.1	0.040	0.93 (0.51 - 1.14)	17, 18
Lost City	1970/01/04	14.14 ± 0.01	163 (158 – 168)	-12.4	0.065 ^a	3.90 (3.78 - 4.02)	18, 19

+3 high energy shower meteors

+ 3 high altitude shower meteors, with high quality multi-instrument observations:

- all-sky cameras
- radiometer
- infrasound
- seismic

Brown et al. 2007



Bolide Name	Bolide Name Date V_{∞} (yyyy/mm/dd) (km/s)		m_{∞} M_{ma} (kg)		Optical energy (T TNT)	Source energy ^b (T TNT)	Reference
- Hamburg	2019/01/17	15.82 . 0.05	142 (60 225)	16.2	0.102.4	4.27 (1.70 (.79)	1.2
	2018/01/17	15.83 ± 0.05	142 (60 - 225)	-10.3	0.195 *	4.27 (1.79 - 0.78)	1, 2
EJDY	2016/02/06	14.52 ± 0.10	185 (110 – 350)	-14.0	0.156 ^a	4.66 (2.73 - 8.94)	3,4
Creston	2015/10/24	16.00 ± 0.26	55 (10 - 100)	-12.0	0.040 ^a	1.68 (0.30 - 3.16)	5
Žďár nad Sázavou	2014/12/09	21.89 ± 0.02	150 (130 – 170)	-15.3	0.335	8.59 (7.43 - 9.75)	6
Novato	2012/10/18	13.67 ± 0.12	80 (45 – 115)	-13.8	0.215	1.79 (0.99 – 2.61)	7
Križevci	2011/02/04	18.21 ± 0.07	50 (25 - 100)	-13.7	0.064 ^a	1.98 (0.98 - 3.99)	8
Grimsby	2009/09/26	20.91 ± 0.19	30 (20 - 50)	-14.8	0.082 ^a	1.57 (1.03 – 2.66)	9
	2009/04/09	13.78 ± 0.25	170 (90 – 250)	-15.0	0.158 ^a	3.86 (1.97 - 5.88)	10, 11, 12
	2007/07/20	13.37 ± 0.01	30 (21 – 38)	-9.6	0.004 ^a	0.64 (0.44 - 0.82)	13, 14, 15
- ф - EN130801	2001/08/13	59.89 ± 0.13	0.600	-13.3	0.006	0.257	16
- Ф - EN151101A	2001/11/15	71.30 ± 0.11	0.800	-14.9	0.029	0.486	16
- Ф - EN030804	2004/08/03	60.80 ± 0.20	0.370	-12.5	0.005	0.163	16
Innisfree	1977/02/06	14.70 ± 0.04	36 (20 - 44)	-12.1	0.040	0.93 (0.51 - 1.14)	17, 18
Lost City	1970/01/04	14.14 ± 0.01	163 (158 – 168)	-12.4	0.065 ^a	3.90 (3.78 - 4.02)	18, 19

References: [1] Brown et al. (2019); [2] Heck et al. (2020); [3] Spurný et al. (2017); [4] Haack et al. (2019); [5] Jenniskens et al. (2019); [6] Spurný et al. (2020); [7] Jenniskens et al. (2014); [8] Borovička et al. (2015a); [9] Brown et al. (2011); [10] Spurný et al. (2010); [11] Bischoff et al. (2011); [12] Ott et al. (2010); [13] Sansom et al. (2015); [14] Spurný et al. (2012); [15] Welten et al. (2012); [16] Brown et al. (2007); [17] Halliday et al. (1981); [18] Ceplecha & Revelle (2005); [19] Ceplecha (1996). Anghel et al. (2021) MNRAS

Bolide Name	Bolide Name Date (yyyy/mm/dd)		m _∞ M _{max} (kg)		Optical energy (T TNT)	Source energy ^b (T TNT)	Reference
	2018/01/17	15.83 ± 0.05	142 (60 – 225)	-16.3	0.193 ^{<i>a</i>}	4.27 (1.79 – 6.78)	1.2
	2016/02/06	14.52 ± 0.10	185 (110 - 350)	-14.0	0.156 ^{<i>a</i>}	4.66 (2.73 - 8.94)	3,4
Creston	2015/10/24	16.00 ± 0.26	55 (10 - 100)	-12.0	0.040 ^a	1.68 (0.30 - 3.16)	5
Žďár nad Sázavou	2014/12/09	21.89 ± 0.02	150 (130 – 170)	-15.3	0.335	8.59 (7.43 - 9.75)	6
Novato	2012/10/18	13.67 ± 0.12	80 (45 - 115)	-13.8	0.215	1.79 (0.99 – 2.61)	7
Križevci	2011/02/04	18.21 ± 0.07	50 (25 - 100)	-13.7	0.064 ^a	1.98 (0.98 - 3.99)	8
- Grimsby	2009/09/26	20.91 ± 0.19	30 (20 - 50)	-14.8	0.082 ^a	1.57 (1.03 – 2.66)	9
- Jesenice	2009/04/09	13.78 ± 0.25	170 (90 – 250)	-15.0	0.158 ^a	3.86 (1.97 - 5.88)	10, 11, 12
- 	2007/07/20	13.37 ± 0.01	30 (21 – 38)	-9.6	0.004 ^a	0.64 (0.44 - 0.82)	13, 14, 15
- ф - EN130801	2001/08/13	59.89 ± 0.13	0.600	-13.3	0.006	0.257	16
- Ф - EN151101A	2001/11/15	71.30 ± 0.11	0.800	-14.9	0.029	0.486	16
- O - EN030804	2004/08/03	60.80 ± 0.20	0.370	-12.5	0.005	0.163	16
Innisfree	1977/02/06	14.70 ± 0.04	36 (20 - 44)	-12.1	0.040	0.93 (0.51 – 1.14)	17, 18
Lost City	1970/01/04	14.14 ± 0.01	163 (158 – 168)	-12.4	0.065 ^a	3.90 (3.78 - 4.02)	18, 19

 $\frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{m} V^2 = KE$

10 ⁰	E							
10 ⁻¹		$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	V∞ (km/s)	m_{∞} (kg)	M _{max}	Optical energy (T TNT)	Source energy ^b (T TNT)	Reference
(t) 10 ⁻²		 (extrapolated) Hamburg Ejby Creston Žďár nad Sázavou Novato Križevci Grimsby Jesenice 	15.83 ± 0.05 14.52 ± 0.10	142 (60 – 225) 185 (110 – 350)	-16.3 -14.0	0.193 ^a 0.156 ^a	4.27 (1.79 – 6.78) 4.66 (2.73 – 8.94)	1, 2 3, 4
gy	10 ⁻³ 10 ⁻⁴		16.00 ± 0.26	55 (10 - 100)	-12.0	0.040 ^a	1.68 (0.30 - 3.16)	5
Je 10-3			21.89 ± 0.02	150 (130 – 170)	-15.3	0.335	8.59 (7.43 - 9.75)	6
0 10 3			13.67 ± 0.12	80 (45 - 115)	-13.8	0.215	1.79 (0.99 – 2.61)	7
Ce			18.21 ± 0.07	50 (25 - 100)	-13.7	0.064 ^a	1.98 (0.98 - 3.99)	8
10-4		-• EN130801 -• EN151101A	20.91 ± 0.19	30 (20 - 50)	-14.8	0.082 ^a	1.57 (1.03 – 2.66)	9
Š		- EN030804	13.78 ± 0.25	170 (90 – 250)	-15.0	0.158 ^a	3.86 (1.97 - 5.88)	10, 11, 12
		Innisfree	13.37 ± 0.01	30 (21 - 38)	-9.6	0.004 ^a	0.64 (0.44 - 0.82)	13, 14, 15
10 ⁻⁵	— This work	59.89 ± 0.13	0.600	-13.3	0.006	0.257	16	
		71.30 ± 0.11	0.800	-14.9	0.029	0.486	16	
10 ⁻⁶			60.80 ± 0.20	0.370	-12.5	0.005	0.163	16
10 10	10^{-6} 10^{-5} 10^{-4} 10^{-1}	-3	14.70 ± 0.04	36 (20 - 44)	-12.1	0.040	0.93 (0.51 - 1.14)	17, 18
	Optical energy (kt)		14.14 ± 0.01	163 (158 – 168)	-12.4	0.065 ^a	3.90 (3.78 - 4.02)	18, 19

Figure 12. Energy calibrations of well known bolides around the ton-scale TNT. The error bar on the source energy represents the combined uncertainties from mass and velocity. The thin black line is the fit obtained by (Brown et al. 2002) corresponding to source energies greater than 0.1 kt TNT. This is

Anghel et al. (2021) MNRAS



2002) corresponding to source energies greater than 0.1 kt TNT. This is

Anghel et al. (2021) MNRAS

Summary

- **Meteoroids** are orders of magnitude more numerous than asteroids, and can be studied when interacting with the atmosphere
- Recovering a meteorite fall allow us to build better models for studying meteoroids
- So far, the **bolide radiation** is the most **realiable method** of estimating the **source energy**
- Fireball networks are needed to estimate stochastic and systematic errors of atmospheric impacts

Future work:

- Understand how the **bolide radiation method** changes the meteoroid **flux density** around 1 ton TNT energy scale
- combine meteor observations via radar and high-speed radiometers
- Expand the existing fireball networks











