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## Current state of NEAs

NEA (Near-Earth Asteroid): perihelion distance < 1.3 au
PHA (Potentially Hazardous Asteroids):

size > 140 m ,<br>MOID with Earth $<0.05 \mathrm{au}$

Near-Earth Asteroids

| Near-Earth Asteroids | 29708 |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1+KM Near-Earth Asteroids | 848 |
| Potentially Hazardous Asteroids | 2294 |

Data from IAU MPC on September 2022 https://minorplanetcenter.net

## Current state of NEAs

## Observations



## Current state of NEAs

## NEAs size-range distribution and completeness



| Size, $m$ | Simulation of completeness |
| :---: | :--- |
| $1000+$ | $962 \pm 54$ (Granvik et al., 2018); |
| $100+$ | $(7 \pm 2) \times 10^{(\text {Harris \& Chodas, 2021) }}$ (Tricarico, 2017) |
| $10+$ | $(1.59 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{7}$ (Heinze et al., 2021); |
| $1.5 \times 10^{7}($ Trilling et al., 2017 $)$ |  |

## Current state of NEAs

## NEAs size-range distribution and completeness (continue)

NEAR-EARTH ASTEROID SIZE RANGES


## Current state of NEAs

## Importance of regular follow up



A positive "del-dot" means the NEO is moving away from the Earth, a negative "del-dot" means the NEO is moving toward the Earth. More than $40 \%$ ! of known NEO's were discovered after they had approached to a minimum distance to Earth.


More than $50 \%$ ! of NEAs (5755) discovered during 2000-2015 have been observed at 1 opposition only!

## Difficulties of observing NEAs

## Apparent rate of motion. Discovery of NEAs






Reproduced from
Vereš et al., 2018, AJ, 156

The surveys can detect fast-moving NEAs only up to a certain velocity limit, e.g. Pan-STARRS up to 10 deg/day ( $\mathbf{2 5 \prime \prime} / \mathbf{m i n}$ ), DECAM3 deg/day ( $\mathbf{7 . 5} \mathbf{~ " ~}^{\prime} / \mathbf{m i n}$ ).
Mt. Lemmon is able to report faster NEA candidates. This is because Mt. Lemmon has its own follow-up facilities and focuses on rapid follow-up.

## Difficulties of observing NEAs

## Apparent rate of motion. Observations

Apparent motion of NEAs during CA regarding the background stars is high enough to cause trailing. It will result in streaked images and prevent to perform good astrometry for such fast moving objects.


Sidereal tracking, telescope follows stars


Object's tracking
Telescope follows NEAs

## Difficulties of observing NEAs

## Apparent rate of motion. Observation results





## Rotating drift-scan CCD approach

## Original drift-scan mode

Drift-scan CCD works as:
(1) telescope keeps pointing toward specified direction;
(2) image of the target object moves across the CCD, charges are drifted with the relevant speed;
(3) charges are readout during the exposing


## Rotating drift-scan CCD approach

 RDS CCD principlesTarget moving direction


Rotate camera $\beta=\operatorname{arctg}\left(v_{\delta} /\left(v_{\alpha}-15\right) \cdot \cos \delta\right)$


$$
v=\frac{v_{0}}{\operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{p x}{f l}\right)}
$$



## Rotating drift-scan CCD approach

## RDS CCD principles

1) Based on prediction of the target, point the telescope toward ephemerid position;
2) Rotate the CCD camera to align the direction of charge transferring with direction of target object's apparent motion;
3) Lock the telescope and camera at the current position;
4) Adjust the charge transferring speed in accordance with target object's apparent motion.

## Target moving

 direction

Rotate camera


## Rotating drift-scan CCD approach

 RDS CCD observations

Three CCD frames should be taken for each RDS observation set: the first and the last frames are with background stars for reference; the middle one is with target object's point-like image. The first and the third CCD frames are used to calculate the plate model parameters for transformation between pixel coordinates and the celestial coordinates.

## Rotating drift-scan CCD approach Advantages of the RDS CCD technique

- The positions of fast-moving objects (FMO) could be as precise as for slow-moving objects, since the images of the reference stars and target objects are point-like;
- The exposure time of the object is only limited by the FOV of the telescope, so long exposures can be used for faint FMO in order to increase SNR;
- The implementation of this technique is simple and cost-effective.


## References:

> Tang et al. 2014. Mem.Soc. Astron.It., 85, 821;
> Pomazan, A. et al. 2021. RAA, 21, id.175;
> Pomazan, A. et al. 2022. P\&SS, 216, id. 105477

## Rotating drift-scan CCD approach Processing and astrometric reductions

## Logic of reductions:

Equatorial coordinates of the reference stars

## 】

Horizontal coordinates of the reference stars Plate constants of s
Linear interpolation of plate constants to time moment of object's CCD frame


Horizontal coordinates of an object


Equatorial coordinates of an object

## Developed software in ShAO:

Is written in Python (3.x) language
Uses specialized modules: NumPy, SciPy, Astropy, PALpy

Transformation equatorial coordinate to horizontal made by routines based on SLALIB functions

Standardized atmosphere parameters are used

Plate model parameters are calculated using least-square fitting method with several iterations

The initial astrometric reduction of CCD frames with stars is standard and made by Astrometrica software with Gaia DR2 star catalogue as reference system.

## Rotating drift-scan CCD approach

## Processing and astrometric reductions



NEOCP object observed on 2021-09.12. Provisional designation - C28NMZ1 (2021 RJ ${ }_{14}$ )

Determination of target object's rectangular coordinates is made by weighted average method:

$$
X_{0}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i 2} \sum_{j=j=j}^{i 2} X_{i j} I_{i j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{i 2} \sum_{j=1}^{i 2} I_{i j}} Y_{0}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i 2} \sum_{j=i j}^{i 2} Y_{i j} I_{i j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{i 2} \sum_{j=1}^{i 2} I_{i j}}
$$



Telescope:

$$
D=500 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

$$
F=2975 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Time accuracy: GPS, rounded to 0.001s

CCD camera:
Active Pixels
Pixel Size
FOV
Scale:

- standard V, R filters
(Johnson-Cousins UBVRI photometric system)

LiShan observatory Lintong, Xi'An, China MPC observatory code O85


Telescope:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D=500 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& F=3445 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Time accuracy: GPS, rounded to
0.001s

CCD camera:
Active Pixels
Pixel Size
FOV
Scale:

3k x 3k
$12 \times 12 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$36.7^{\prime} \times 36.7^{\prime}$
$0.72^{\prime \prime} \times 0.72^{\prime \prime} / p x$

Features:

- Half automatic and remotely controlled;
- No filters for now


## Observational program

The objects for observations are searched on the daily basis at:

1) NEAs (PHA with medium priority) via NEODyS-2 query form:
https://newton.spacedys.com/neodys/
additional criteria: ap. rate of motion $\geq 0.15^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{s}$ (according to FWHM)
(automatically)
2) Objects from NEO Confirmation Page lists (higher priority):
https://newton.spacedys.com/neodys/NEOScan/
https://cneos.jpl.nasa.gov/scout/\#/
(automatically)
3) Objects from the Priority List (higher priority):
https://neo.ssa.esa.int/priority-list
(manually)

Selection criteria:
ap. magnitude $\leq 18$ mag;
declination $>-25^{\circ}$ (for 089); >-45 ${ }^{\circ}$ (for O85);
elongation $\geq 85^{\circ}$;

## Astrometry results

## Obtained observational data array

## 089 - RI "MAO"

Total number of NEAs obtained positions is more than 13,000 for 540 NEAs during 2010-2021.


## O85-LiShan Observatory

Total number of obtained positions is 1616 for 92 NEAs. Among them 352 positions for $\mathbf{2 4}$ newly discovered ones.

| Year | N1 | N2 | Newly <br> discovered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | n1 | n2 |
| 2019 | 368 | 16 | 54 | 2 |
| 2020 | 462 | 34 | 32 | 3 |
| 2021 | 786 | 43 | 266 | 19 |

## Astrometry results

Astrometric accuracy and analysis. RI "MAO" (089)




## Astrometry results

## Astrometric accuracy and analysis. LiShan observatory (085)

The precision for both newly discovered and already known NEAs is in the range $0.1^{\prime \prime}-0.2^{\prime \prime}$ in both coordinates. However, the mean apparent rate of motion among known objects is $10.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ while is $43.7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ for newly discovered NEAs.


| Year | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}) \pm \sigma\left({ }^{(\prime \prime}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Already known NEAS | Newly discovered NEAS |  |  |
|  | RA | Dec | RA | Dec |
| 2019 | $-0.01 \pm 0.09$ | $0.04 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.04 \pm 0.13$ | $0.16 \pm 0.20$ |
| 2020 | $-0.02 \pm 0.13$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.16$ | $0.01 \pm 0.13$ | $-0.05 \pm 0.16$ |
| $2021^{*}$ | $0.01 \pm 0.14$ | $-0.04 \pm 0.14$ | $0.05 \pm 0.17$ | $0.00 \pm 0.17$ |

## Astrometry results

## Results for newly discovered NEAs (code 089)

| NEO | N1 | N2 | Uncert. <br> Param. | App. Motion, * "/min |  | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}) \pm \mathrm{\sigma},{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  | Mag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | max. | mean obs. | RA | Dec |  |
| K20Q06V | 160 | 19 | 6 | 67.8 | 31.6 | $-0.01 \pm 0.13$ | $0.37 \pm 0.17$ | 16.4 |
| K20R00C | 275 | 10 | 5 | 41.6 | 36.1 | $-0.03 \pm 0.13$ | $0.21 \pm 0.15$ | 15.9 |
| K20R06Z | 89 | 9 | 5 | 315.9 | 234.2 | -0.16 $\pm 0.27$ | $0.16 \pm 0.18$ | 16.4 |
| K20S00W | 149 | 8 | 5 | 3414.6 | 32.4 | $0.14 \pm 0.08$ | $0.42 \pm 0.14$ | 16.0 |
| K20R00J | 108 | 7 | 4 | 91.1 | 88.9 | -0.75 $\pm 0.30$ | $0.38 \pm 0.22$ | 16.6 |
| K20P04T | 38 | 4 | 6 | 82.1 | 41.0 | $0.02 \pm 0.29$ | $-0.34 \pm 0.26$ | 16.9 |
| K20N01K | 114 | 3 | 6 | 46.3 | 25.1 | $0.05 \pm 0.14$ | $-0.05 \pm 0.09$ | 15.9 |
| K21J01G | 162 | 10 | 5 | 134.2 | 97.9 | $0.13 \pm 0.14$ | $0.17 \pm 0.17$ | 15.5 |
| K21N04M | 64 | 10 | 6 | 102.4 | 102.2 | $0.02 \pm 0.23$ | $0.16 \pm 0.33$ | 16.1 |
| K21K00C | 97 | 7 | 7 | 32.3 | 27.4 | $-0.05 \pm 0.26$ | $0.49 \pm 0.26$ | 17.0 |

* The column max. shows maximum apparent motion with respect to Geocenter; the column mean obs.
- regarding observational site (code O85)


## Astrometry results

## Results for newly discovered NEAs (code O85)

| NEO | N1 | N2 | Uncert. Param. | App. Motion, * "/min |  | $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}) \pm \mathrm{\sigma},{ }^{\prime}$ |  | Mag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | max. | mean obs. | RA | Dec |  |
| K20W05U | 66 | 156 | 2 | 32.8 | 24.0 | $0.04 \pm 0.11$ | $0.04 \pm 0.12$ | 13.8 |
| K21C000 | 16 | 86 | 6 | 389.9 | 218.5 | $0.37 \pm 0.15$ | $0.28 \pm 0.13$ | 14.0 |
| K21C02K | 29 | 193 | 3 | 19.0 | 11.9 | $-0.05 \pm 0.15$ | $0.03 \pm 0.15$ | 15.9 |
| K20C01X | 16 | 153 | 0 | 42.6 | 42.3 | $0.03 \pm 0.14$ | $-0.19 \pm 0.17$ | 17.6 |
| K20M03X | 11 | 142 | 4 | 25.2 | 25.2 | $0.02 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.13 \pm 0.17$ | 16.3 |
| K21C06A | 22 | 44 | 3 | 359.2 | 336.4 | $-2.03 \pm 0.25$ | -0.05 $\pm 0.18$ | 17.4 |
| K21D01W | 14 | 269 | 3 | 82.5 | 82.2 | $-0.14 \pm 0.10$ | -0.18 $\pm 0.14$ | 14.4 |
| K21F00H | 10 | 92 | 7 | 26.3 | 25.6 | $-0.01 \pm 0.13$ | $-0.14 \pm 0.17$ | 17.0 |
| K21F01K | 15 | 49 | 7 | 54.8 | 53.4 | $0.24 \pm 0.28$ | $-0.24 \pm 0.29$ | 17.4 |
| K21V02R | 9 | 59 | 6 | 177.8 | 80.8 | -0.52 $\pm 0.23$ | $0.13 \pm 0.26$ | 17.4 |

* The column max. shows maximum apparent motion with respect to Geocenter; the column mean obs.
- regarding observational site (code O85)


## Astrometry results


a) $2.98^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{min} \pm 3.67^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{min}$

d) $2.26^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{min} \pm 8.40^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{min}$

b) $2.85^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{min} \pm 3.49^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{min}$

e) 3.10 " $/ \mathrm{min} \pm 3.89^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{min}$

c) 1.59 " $/ \mathrm{min} \pm 2.04^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{min}$

The distribution of apparent rate of motion for NEAs' observations since 2010 for selected observatories/surveys in comparison to observations of $089 \quad(11.84 / / \mathrm{min} \quad \pm$ 14.94 "/min)

## Astrometry results

## Analysis of observations (2017 YE5)

The asteroid was discovered at December 2017.

Only 95 observations were available within

191d orbital arc.
Close approach to Earth to 0.04 AU was at Jun 22, 2018.

| Date 2018 | O-C, |  | Date 2018 | O-C, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RA | Dec |  | RA | Dec |
| 06-20.966624 | -10.669 | -7.228 | 06-20.966624 | -0.137 | 0.005 |
| 06-20.971275 | -10.567 | -7.067 | 06-20.971275 | -0.040 | 0.174 |
| 06-20.977173 | -10.325 | -7.516 | 06-20.977173 | 0.196 | -0.264 |
| 06-20.981830 | -10.430 | -6.835 | 06-20.981830 | 0.087 | 0.426 |
| 06-20.987260 | -10.290 | -6.990 | 06-20.987260 | 0.221 | 0.281 |
| 06-20.989585 | -10.307 | -7.638 | 06-20.989585 | 0.202 | -0.363 |
| mean (O-C) | 10.431 | -7.212 | mean (O-C) | 0.088 | 0.043 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.156 | 0.312 | $\sigma$ | 0.148 | 0.310 |

Arecibo/GBO/JPL/NASA/NSF

2017 YE5
2018 Jun 25 UT
(O-C) differences before and after adding own observations

## Astrometry results

## Analysis of observations (2021 CO)

The asteroid was discovered on Feb 5, 2021.

Only 99 positions available over 6d

|  | Initial calc. |  | Final calc. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RA | Dec | RA | Dec |
| mean | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.22 | 0.19 |
| o | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.17 |




## Astrometry results

## Analysis of observations (2021 CA6)

The asteroid was discovered on
Feb 10, 2021.
Only 66 positions available over 3d

|  | Initial calc. |  | Final calc. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RA | Dec | RA | Dec |
| mean | -2.03 | -0.05 | -0.03 | 0.18 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.19 |




## Astrometry results

## Orbital analysis

Calculations were done in 2 ways:

- all positions used (all)
- positions without CA date (w/o CA)

| NEA |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \mathrm{obs} . \end{gathered}$ | rms (") | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{a} \\ (\mathrm{au}) \end{gathered}$ | e | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{i} \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ (\% / d a y) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{\Omega} \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \omega \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MA } \\ \left(^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | CA date | $\begin{gathered} \sigma \\ \left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K20N01K | all | 119 | 0.29 | 1.30E-03 | $3.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 8.50E-04 | 1.60E-03 | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 04-08-2043 | 1643 |
|  | w/o CA | 108 | 0.27 | 1.50E-03 | 3.60E-04 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.90E-03 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.00E-02 |  | 173d |
| K21C000 | all | 60 | 0.24 | 1.50E-04 | 1.70E-04 | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.60E-04 | 4.80E-05 | 4.40E-04 | 2.70E-02 | 27-08-2022 | 917 |
|  | w/o CA | 43 | 0.24 | 4.00E-04 | 5.30E-04 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.50E-04 | $4.80 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 6.00E-03 | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | 2585 |
| K21U09M | all | 200 | 0.41 | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.40E-04 | $4.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.40E-04 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 6.00E-04 | $4.60 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 08-02-2029 | 406' |
|  | w/o CA | 178 | 0.42 | 1.00E-03 | 1.80E-04 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.80E-04 | $3.60 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 7.00E-04 | $5.90 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  | 936' |
| K21V02R | all | 87 | 0.6 | 1.40E-03 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.10E-04 | 1.30E-05 | 8.00E-05 | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 26-11-2085 | 664' |
|  | w/o CA | 66 | 0.48 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.10E-04 | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.60E-04 | $5.40 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  | 62d |

Orbit determination shows reducing of sky uncertainty with adding new positions near CA date and extending orbital arc

## Conclusions

- A large telescope without secured follow-up is inefficient at turning its NEA candidates into NEAs discoveries!!!
- The using RDS CCD technique for NEAs observations allows us to observe the objects on minimal distances to the Earth with high apparent rate of motion with a good accuracy.
- The RDS CCD technique allows to extend observed orbital arc with highprecision positions around CA date. Such results are essentially important for newly discovered NEAs, allow to improve orbit determination and make reliable assessment, prevent object losses.
- Worldwide network of small telescopes with RDS CCDs would have strong advantages to observe all NEAs especially PHAs for better astrometry and photometry.
- SHAO and RI "MAO" would like to cooperate to any observatory with the same interests and provide technical support.

Thank you!

